REMARKS

T00031 Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all

of the claims of the application. Claims 1-5, 7-16, 35-39, 41-44, 58-62, and 64-

72 are presently pending. Claims amended herein are 1, 7, 9, 11, 35, 42, 44, 58,

64, 66, 69, and 70. Claims withdrawn or cancelled herein are 6, 16-34, 40, 45-

57, and 63. New claims added herein are none.

Statement of Substance of Interview

Although the Examiner and I—the undersigned representative for [0004]

the Applicant—did not actually have a formal interview, we did exchange ideas,

opinions, and proposed claims via voice-mail messages and a fax.

[0005] Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner consistent with the

amendments proposed via a fax. The Examiner indicated, in one voice-mail

message, that I should take a look at a particular reference, namely Razavi (US

Pat. # 6,370,449). The implication seems to be that Razavi may disclose what is

recited in the pending claims. Herein, Applicant explains why Razavi does not

anticipate the pending claims.

[0006] Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable

over the cited art for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Formal Request for an Interview

[0007] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the

Serial No.: 10/734,515

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1726US Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

16

lee&haves The Business of IP™

www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

Examiner. I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative for the Applicant—so that we can talk about this matter so as to resolve any outstanding issues quickly and efficiently over the phone.

[0008] Please contact me or my assistant to schedule a date and time for a telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works great for us, I welcome your call to either of us as well. Our contact information may be found on the last page of this response.

Claim Amendments

[0009] Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the interest of expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 1, 7, 9, 11, 35, 42, 44, 58, 64, 66, 69, and 70 herein. These amendments are made to clarify the claim language and not to avoid cited art or for any statutory reason.

Allowable Subject Matter

[0010] Applicant appreciates the Examiners' acknowledgement that dependent claims 6, 7, 9, 11, 40, 42, 44, 63, 64, 66, and 68-72 would be allowable if re-written in an independent form. Applicant's amendments herein are designed to pull the content of the allowable subject matter into their base claims.

lee&hayes The Business of JP™

Formal Matters

This section addresses any formal matters (e.g., objections) raised [0011]

by the Examiner.

Claims

[0012] The Examiner objects to claim 70 because it mistakenly depends

upon itself. Herein, Applicant amends this claim, as shown above, to correct the

informality noted by the Examiner.

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under §§ 102 and/or 103

Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 12-15, 35-39, 41, 43, 58-62, 65, and 67 are [0013]

rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 based upon Kolls (U.S. Pat. # 7,003,289). In

light of the amendments presented herein and the decisions/agreements reached

during the above-discussed Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these

rejections are moot. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these

rejections.

<u>Razavi</u>

[0014] Furthermore, the Examiner indicated, in one voice-mail message, that

Applicant should take a look at a particular reference, namely Razavi (US Pat. #

6,370,449). The implication seems to be that Razavi may disclose what is recited

18

in the pending claims.

Serial No.: 10/734,515 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1726US

Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION ICE A NaVES The Business of IP™

[0015] Upon examination of Razavi, Applicant notices that Razavi never discloses acting upon, of or with a "browsable network document." With regard to claim 1, for example, Razavi fails to disclose (with emphasis added):

- □ "generating...a **browsable network document** including vehicle system data from one or more vehicle systems;
- providing the browsable network document over a network to enable
 remote viewing of the vehicle system data;
- □ displaying a web page based on the browsable network document.

Note that this list is mere is list of examples of those disclosures that Razavi is missing. The list is not intended to be exhaustive.

[0016] Accordingly, Applicant submits that Razavi does not disclose all of the elements and features recited by the claims. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw Razavi from consideration as a reference.



Dependent Claims

In addition to its own merits, each dependent claim is allowable for [0017] the same reasons that its base claim is allowable. Applicant requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of each dependent claim where its base claim is allowable.

Conclusion

All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant [0018] respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact me before issuing a subsequent Action. Please call/email me or my assistant at your convenience.

By:

Kasey C. Christie Reg. No. 40559 (509) 324-9256 x232

kasey@leehayes.com www.leehayes.com

Respectfully Submitted,

My Assistant: Carly Taylor (509) 324-9256 x264 carly@leehayes.com

Serial No.: 10/734,515 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1726US Atty/Agent: Kasey C. Christie RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

